REMARKS

1		
2	ELECTION	
3 ·	a 1 dian of claims 1 - 13	
4	Applicants' attorney confirms the election of claims 1 - 13.	
5		
6	35 USC 112 - PAR. 7.	
7	and it manager fully traversed in view of the	
8	The rejection of claims 2 - 12 is respectfully traversed in view of the amendment to claim 2, which specifies that the second set of trenches is	
9		
10	the subject of that claim.	
11	TOTAL 25 LISC 102 - PAR 9	
12	CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC 102 - PAR. 9	
13	The refection of claims 1 - 8 and 13 under 35 USC 102(b) is respectfully	
14	The refection of claims 1 - 8 and 15 under 35 decreased as being moot in view of the amendment to claim 1.	
15	traversed as being moot in view of the differences	
16	The limitations of claim 9 have been incorporated in claim 1, so that the	
17		
18	relevant rejection is that under '103.	
19	OT A DA DE JECTION	
20	CLAIM REJECTION	
21	35 USC 103	
22	The rejection of claims 9 - 12 (and claim 1 and its dependents) is	
23		
24	respectfully traversed.	
25	Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the step of nitriding occurs in a	
26	separate location from that of the nitride liner. Since the examiner has	
27	Separate rocation month than the	

assumed that the nitriding step forms the insulating liner (paragraph 10, line 7 - 10), the rejection under 35 USC 103 is moot for this reason. 2 The rejection under 35 USC 103 is improper with the current versions of 3 4 claim 1 because the combination of the two references of Radens and 5 Hodges would defeat the purpose of the present invention. 6 7 The present invention forms a conductive path in order to make a body 8 contact for the vertical transistor. Necessarily, that requires that there be 9 substantial conductivity along the path. 10 11 The Hodges reference describes an isolation scheme that by its nature 12 blocks conductivity. 13 14 If the Hodges nitride layer were placed in the structure of Radens, the body 15 contact would not work because of the effective isolation provided by the 16 Hodges material. 17 Thus, the combination of Radens and Hodges does not meet or suggest the 19 claims as amended. 20 21

1	Toutha forest	ing reasons, allowance of the claims is respectfully	
2	For the longoing reasons,		
3	solicited.	Respectfully submitted,	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		1111	
9		2 a Campal	
10		by: Eric W. Petraske, Attorney	
11		Registration No. 28,459	
12		Tel. (203) 798-1857	
13			
14			